

## SCANA (SCG) Earnings Report: Q1 2016 Conference Call Transcript

The following SCANA conference call took place on April 28, 2016, 03:00 PM ET. This is a transcript of that earnings call:

### Company Participants

- Susan Wright; SCANA; Director Financial Planning & IR
- Jimmy Addison; SCANA; CFO
- Steve Byrne; SCANA; COO, SCE&G

### Other Participants

- Jim von Rieseemann; Mizuho Securities USA; Analyst
- Michael Weinstein; UBS; Analyst
- Stephen Byrd; Morgan Stanley; Analyst
- Feliks Kerman; Visium Asset Management; Analyst
- Travis Miller; Morningstar; Analyst
- Dan Jenkins; State of Wisconsin Investment Board; Analyst
- Paul Patterson; Glenrock Associates; Analyst
- Andy Levi; Avon Capital; Analyst
- David Paz; Wolfe Research; Analyst
- Andrew Weisel; Macquarie Capital Securities; Analyst

### MANAGEMENT DISCUSSION SECTION

#### Operator:

Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. Thank you for standing by. I'll be your conference facilitator today. At this time, I would like to welcome everyone to the SCANA Corporation conference call.

(Operator Instructions)

As a reminder, this conference call is being recorded on Thursday, April 28, 2016. Anyone who does not consent to the taping may drop off the line.

At this time, I would like to turn the call over to Susan Wright, Director of Financial Planning and Investor Relations.

**Susan Wright** (Director Financial Planning & IR):

Thank you. Welcome to our analyst call.

As you know, earlier today we announced financial results for the first quarter of 2016.

Joining us on the call today are Jimmy Addison, SCANA's Chief Financial Officer, and Steve Byrne, Chief Operating Officer of SCE&G.

During the call Jimmy will provide an overview of our financial results. Steve will provide an update on our new nuclear project. After our comments, we will respond to your questions.

The slides and the earnings release referred to in this call are available at SCANA.com. Additionally, we post information related to our new nuclear project and other investor information directly to our website at SCANA.com.

On SCANA's home page there is a yellow box containing links to the nuclear development and other investor information sections of the website. It is possible that some of the information that we will be posting from time to time may be deemed material information that has not otherwise become public. You can sign up for e-mail alerts under the investor section of SCANA.com to notify you when there is a new posting in the nuclear development and/or other investor information sections of the website.

Finally, before I turn the call over to Jimmy, I would like to remind you that certain statements that may be made during today's call are considered forward-looking statements and are subject to a number of risks and uncertainties as shown on slide 2.

The company does not recognize an obligation to update any forward-looking statements.

Additionally, we may disclose certain non-GAAP measures during this presentation, and the required Reg G information can be found in the investor section of our website under webcasts and presentations.

I will now turn the call over to Jimmy.

**Jimmy Addison** (CFO):

Thanks, Susan. And thank you all for joining us today.

I'll begin our earnings discussion on slide 3.

GAAP earnings in the first quarter of 2016 were \$1.23 per share compared to \$2.80 per share in the same quarter of 2015. Excluding the net of tax gains on the sales of CGT and SCI, positive earnings drivers from electric margins, primarily due to a Base Load Review Act rate increase and customer growth, as well as the positive impact of the depreciation study were more than offset by the negative impact of weather on both electric margins as well as on gas margins in our Georgia business.

Gas margins were also down due to CGT operating for a month during the first quarter of 2015 before it was sold. Additionally, expected increases in O&M expenses and CapEx related items including interest and property taxes had a negative impact on earnings.

At the bottom of the slide, you will note that abnormal weather decreased electric margins by \$0.05 per share in the first quarter of 2016 and increased electric margins by \$0.05 per share in the first quarter of 2015, resulting in a \$0.10 per share negative swing.

Slide 4 shows earnings on a GAAP Adjusted Weather Normalized basis. Earnings in the first quarter of 2016 were \$1.28 per share, compared to \$1.34 per share in the same quarter of 2015.

As a reminder, GAAP Adjusted Weather Normalized EPS excludes the impact of abnormal weather on electric margins and the net of tax gains on the sales of CGT and SCI from the first quarter of 2015. Abnormal weather on gas margins is not adjusted in this measure as gas margins are weather normalized for the North and South Carolina gas businesses, and the direct impact of abnormal weather on the Georgia business is generally insignificant on an annual basis.

Now on slide 5, I'd like to briefly review results for our principal lines of business.

On a GAAP basis, South Carolina Electric & Gas Company's first quarter 2016 earnings were down \$0.08 per share compared to the same period of 2015. The decrease in earnings is due to lower electric margins principally as a result of abnormal weather in both periods, as well as higher O&M expenses

and higher expenses related to our capital program including interest expense and property taxes.

These decreases more than offset increases from the continued recovery of financing cost under the BLRA customer growth in both the electric and gas businesses, and the application of the previously mentioned new depreciation rates.

PSNC Energy reported earnings of \$0.25 per share in the first quarter of 2016 compared to \$0.24 per share in the same quarter of the prior year, primarily due to higher margins from customer growth.

SCANA Energy, which includes SCANA Energy Marketing and SCANA Energy Georgia, showed a decrease in first quarter earnings of \$0.06 per share, primarily due to lower throughput attributable to the warmer weather during the first quarter of 2016, as compared to 2015, and higher transportation costs.

On a GAAP basis, SCANA's corporate and other businesses reported relatively flat earnings in the first quarter of 2016 compared to \$1.44 per share in the comparative quarter of the prior year. Excluding the net of tax gains on the sales of CGT and SCI of \$1.41 per share, GAAP Adjusted Weather Normalized EPS was down \$0.03, due primarily to foregone earnings contributed by CGT and SCI prior to the closing of the sales in the first quarter of 2015.

I would now like to touch on economic trends in our service territory on slide 6.

The Carolinas continue to have a favorable business environment, and we are pleased by the continuous growth in our service territories as evidenced by announcements to invest approximately \$380 million with the expectation of creating approximately 1,300 jobs.

At the bottom of the slide, you can see the national unemployment rate along with the rates for the three states where SCANA has a presence and the SCE&G electric territory. South Carolina's unemployment rate is now at 5.7%, and the rate in SCE&G's electric territory is estimated at 5%.

Of particular interest and attesting to our state's strong economic growth, almost 80,000 or 3.7% more South Carolinians are working today than a year ago.

Slide 7 presents customer growth and electric sales statistics. On the top half of the slide is the customer growth rate for each of our regulated businesses. SCE&G's electric business added customers at a year-over-year rate of 1.5%. Our regulated gas businesses in North and South Carolina added customers at a rate of 2.5% and 2.7%, respectively. We continue to see strong customer growth in our businesses and in the region.

The bottom table outlines our actual and weather normalized kilowatt hour sales for the 12 months ended March 31, 2016. Overall, weather normalized total retail sales were up 1.3% on a 12 month ended basis.

Now please turn to slide 8 which recaps our regulatory rate base and returns. The pie chart on the left presents the components of our regulated rate base of approximately \$9.5 billion. As noted in the two shades of blue, approximately 86% of this rate base is related to the electric business.

In the block on the right, you will see SCE&G's base electric business in which we're allowed a 10.25% return on equity. The earned return for the 12 months ended March 31, 2016 in the base electric business continues at approximately 9.75%, meeting our stated goal of earning a return of 9% or higher to prevent the need for non-BLRA related base rate increases during the peak nuclear construction years. We continue to be pleased with the execution of our strategy.

As a reminder, we're allowed a return on equity of 10.25% in our LDC in South Carolina, and if the earned ROE of the gas business for the 12 months ending March 31 falls outside a range of 50 basis points above

or below the allowed ROE, then we will file to adjust rates under the Rate Stabilization Act in June. As of December 31, 2015, the 12 month earned return for SCE&G gas was 9.65%.

As you are aware, we recently filed an application with the North Carolina Utilities Commission requesting an annual revenue increase of \$41.6 million at PSNC Energy in response to the normal attrition in the earned returns in that business. This rate increase is a result of PSNC's need to recover cost to operate and expand its pipeline system and to implement a pipeline integrity management rider. This rider would allow PSNC to track and receive ongoing recovery of capital expenses related to its distribution and transmission pipeline integrity management programs.

Slide 9 presents our CapEx forecast. This forecast reflects the Company's current estimate of new nuclear spending through 2018 and is consistent with last quarter's call and the February quarterly BLRA report which also reflects the amended EPC agreement that was announced in October of 2015.

At the bottom of the slide, we recap the estimated new nuclear CWIP from July 1 through June 30 to correspond to the periods on which the BLRA rate increases are historically calculated.

Slide 10 presents the transition payments information and an expected timeframe for the filing with the Public Service Commission of South Carolina. Once these events are complete, we will update the CapEx schedule and the corresponding financing plan.

Now please turn to slide 11 to review our estimated financing plan through 2018. As a reminder, we have switched to open market purchases instead of issuing new shares to fulfill our 401-K and DRIP plans at least until we have fully utilized the net cash proceeds from the sales of CGT and SCI. We do not anticipate the need for further equity issuances until 2017. The election of the fixed price option would likely change planned equity issuances after 2016.

It is unlikely that these issuances will occur in the exact amounts or timing as presented as they are subject to changes in our funding needs for planned project expenses. We continue to adjust the financing to match the related project CapEx on a 50/50 debt and equity basis.

On slide 12 we are reaffirming our 2016 GAAP Adjusted Weather Normalized earnings guidance as \$3.90 per share to \$4.10 per share with an internal target of \$4 per share. Our long-term GAAP Adjusted Weather Normalized average annual growth guidance target remains unchanged as we plan to deliver 4% to 6% earnings growth over three to five years using a base of 2015's GAAP Adjusted Weather Normalized EPS of \$3.73.

I'll now turn the call over to Steve to provide an update on our nuclear project.

**Steve Byrne** (COO, SCE&G):

Thanks, Jimmy.

I'd like to begin by addressing the status of the settlement with the consortium and the PSC approval process.

Slide 13 presents the settlement agreement outlined that we have previously shown. Westinghouse closed on the transaction to acquire Stone & Webster from CB&I at the end of December and Fluor began work as the subcontracted construction manager for the new nuclear construction site on January the fourth. The craft employees transitioned to Fluor on April the fourth.

Slide 13 presents costs as of the settlement date.

In our next quarterly BLRA update filing to be filed in the next couple of weeks, we plan to update the

project costs for estimated change orders primarily related items listed in Exhibit C of the settlement agreement. As you may recall, Exhibit C described items not resolved in net settlement.

Listed on slide 14 are several of those items for which we now have estimated the cost. Also listed are the estimated change orders associated with escrowing the software and documentation necessary to complete the project and new change orders initiated since the settlement that are owner directed. Additional sales tax, which has been excluded from the fix price option, is also listed.

Slide 15 presents the relative schedules of both the filing of an update petition and the annual request for revised rates. We continue our analysis of the fixed price option, and we'll consider any input received from Fluor. As a reminder, we have until November the first of this year to unilaterally elect the fixed price option.

Regardless of which scenario we choose, once a decision has been made, we will file a petition with the public service commission to amend the capital costs and schedule for the project. We continue to expect to reach a conclusion on the matter sometime during the second quarter and expect to have a minor delay of a few weeks in filing our annual request for revised rates under the BLRA in order to coordinate the petition with the revised rates application.

Moving on to some of the activities at the new nuclear construction site. Fluor's integration into the project as Westinghouse's subcontracted construction manager continues to progress with the placement of managers in key positions of the construction areas and a series of assessments that are taking place to streamline processes and improved performance.

Slide 16 presents an aerial photo of the site from September of 2015. This photo gives you a view of the layout of the site, and I have labeled both Units 2 and 3 as well as many other areas of the construction site.

Slide 17 presents a schematic view of the five large structural modules that are located inside the containment vessel. This expanded view gives you a better feel for you how CA01 through 05 fits spatially inside of the containment vessel. As you'll recall, we have now placed CA01, CA04 and CA05 for Unit 2 and CA04 for Unit 3.

Slide 18 shows an aerial view of the Unit 2 containment.

Looking down into the containment vessel, you see the first ring section with openings or penetrations sitting on top of the lower bowl. Previously mentioned CA01, CA04 and CA05 have been placed and can be seen in the picture.

Slide 19 shows a picture of the Unit 2 CA02 module. CA02 is a wall section that forms part of the in-containment refueling water storage tank. As mentioned in previous quarters, CA02 is now structurally complete and is awaiting installation.

Slide 20 shows a picture of the Unit 2 CA03 which is the west wall of the in-containment refueling water storage tank. Fabrication continues as all 17 of CA03's submodules are on site and standing on the assembly platform.

Slide 21 shows a picture of the first portion of the Unit 3 module CA20 which was placed during March. This module consists of four subassemblies, and the decision was made to expedite placement of subassemblies 3 and 4 as they allow for work to move forward that is required in order to begin shield building erection which is the next critical path item.

As you can see on slide 22, fabrication continues on subassemblies 1 and 2 inside of the module assembly building or MAB. All 72 required submodules are on site. These remaining subassemblies will be placed

once they are completed.

As a reminder, CA20 is an auxiliary building module that is located outside and adjacent to the containment vessel.

Slide 23 shows a picture of the Unit 3 containment vessel Ring 1 placement. Ring 1 was placed on top of the containment vessel lower bowl on April 13.

Slide 24 shows a picture of the Unit 3 module CA05. This module comprises one of the major wall sections within the containment vessel. Fabrication of the Unit 3 CA05 has been completed, and it has been staged outside of the MAB.

Slide 25 shows a picture of the beginnings of the Unit 3 module CA01. Module CA01 houses the steam generators and the pressurizer and forms the refueling canal inside the containment vessel.

Currently we have 24 of the 47 submodules on site. Seven of those submodules are upright and being welded together in the MAB.

Now turn to slide number 26.

I want to briefly mention that last week, the Public Service Commission of South Carolina approved SCE&G's request to reduce its electric rates to reflect lower fuel costs. Having a balanced and diversified fuel mix contributes to a lower fuel cost that will be passed on to our customers. It is anticipated that this decrease should more than offset any increase to residential customers from the BLRA for the year.

Finally, please now turn to slide number 27.

Discuss some of the SCE&G solar initiatives. Under South Carolina law, or Act 236, we are allowed to recover certain costs associated with our efforts to implement renewable energy incentive programs. We officially rolled out our DER program in August of 2015 with the goal of adding approximately 84 megawatts of renewable generating facilities to our system by the end of 2020. We anticipate being almost halfway to our 2020 goal by the end of 2016.

That concludes our prepared remarks. We'll now be glad to respond to any questions you might have.

QUESTIONS & ANSWERS

**Operator:**

(Operator Instructions)

Jim von Rieseemann with Mizuho Securities.

**Jim von Rieseemann** (Analyst - Mizuho Securities USA):

Hi, Jimmy. Hello? Jimmy? Jimmy? Hello.

**Operator:**

One moment, please. I'm sorry about that. Please go ahead.

**Jim von Rieseemann** (Analyst - Mizuho Securities USA):

Hi, Jimmy.

**Jimmy Addison** (CFO):

Hi. Is that better?

**Jim von Rieseemann** (Analyst - Mizuho Securities USA):

That's a lot better. I can hear everybody now.

Let me just ask the elephant in the question room. There's been a lot of these conflicting signals in the marketplace about Toshiba and investors are obviously expressing some concern here. So with that being said, how does the fluidity of their situation affect your calculus with potentially accepting the fixed price option?

**Jimmy Addison** (CFO):

Well, we're certainly monitoring the situation to the extent that we can get public information about it. I think the things that are important for our consideration are unlike the previous contract where we had certain calendar based payments, in the new arrangement we're only paying for work completed.

So, we should be in a good equivalent cash flow situation compared to the work completed and not be behind or, if you will, ahead on the cash payments at any point in time from here through the completion. So it's really the progress payments are aligning with the work has just completed.

We are also very focused on the next six months. We've got six more months before we have to make that decision, and while we'll likely file before then, we don't have to make the technical election with Toshiba until that point. We plan to monitor the situation.

Of course, they've taken some actions already on disposing some of their non-core assets. They've said that this business is core to them, and they have backed that up with the decisions they've made to this point. It's obviously dynamic, Jim, and we're going to continue to monitor it over the next several months.

**Jim von Rieseemann** (Analyst - Mizuho Securities USA):

It's safe to say that you're not worried, though, is that what I'm hearing you saying?

**Jimmy Addison** (CFO):

It's certainly something we're monitoring. We're paying attention to it. I wouldn't say it's a worry, no.

**Jim von Rieseemann** (Analyst - Mizuho Securities USA):

Okay. And did I hear Steve correctly, so you're going to delay the BLRA filing maybe until mid-June and at that time you'll give us either a strong signal, or you will have decided which option you're going to choose, is that correct?

**Steve Byrne** (COO, SCE&G):

Yes, Jim, we think we'll have made our decision, as we said before, in the second quarter. We anticipate the filing for both in the second quarter. We just want to make sure that we align one filing with the other.

They're slightly different time frames. The update petition has, by law, six months before a decision has to be rendered by the Public Service Commission. The annual BLRA filing is a five month time frame. So we would like them to be aligned with each other.

**Jim von Rieseemann** (Analyst - Mizuho Securities USA):

I get it. Okay. And then finally, on the equity question, how should we think about incremental equity, is

that really one half the difference between, say, the amended EPC price and the fixed price option if you go that way?

**Jimmy Addison** (CFO):

Yes, our strategy hasn't changed, that's correct. We expect to finance roughly half and half with debt and equity between the two.

What we don't know, at this point, is when will we need it if we go that direction. We've got to wait until we sort out the progress payment schedule.

**Jim von Rieseemann** (Analyst - Mizuho Securities USA):

Got it. Thank you.

**Operator:**

Michael Weinstein at UBS.

**Michael Weinstein** (Analyst - UBS):

Hi, guys.

**Jimmy Addison** (CFO):

Good afternoon.

**Michael Weinstein** (Analyst - UBS):

Hi. With trailing 12 months weather adjusted retail kilowatt hour sales at about 1.3% now, for the last six months, can you just remind us again, what's the guidance for 2016, and at what point would you consider increasing it because of this?

**Jimmy Addison** (CFO):

Well, the guidance as it relates to sales growth. So, our overall plan was for slightly negative, like just slightly below flat for the year compared to 2015 on a weather normal basis. So we're not at the point we're too concerned about that yet.

As far as its impact on EPS, we had a very mild first quarter, obviously, \$0.10 in the electric business quarter-over-quarter. So we're not at any position here to consider revising that at this point.

**Michael Weinstein** (Analyst - UBS):

And when you guys report or when you guys guide to \$3.90 to \$4.10, that's weather normalized guidance for the entire year, not just from this point forward, right?

**Jimmy Addison** (CFO):

That's right.

**Michael Weinstein** (Analyst - UBS):

Okay. So you intend to report whether you've achieved that guidance weather normalized at the end of the year, despite mild weather.

**Jimmy Addison** (CFO):



That's correct. And the reason we're not making any change at this point is we're only three months into the year. So we've got a substantial amount in front of us now. If we get three quarters through the year, and we're in that situation then it may be a different discussion.

**Michael Weinstein** (Analyst - UBS):

Got you. And one last question.

On slide 14, the additional costs since the settlement agreement. So this would be in addition to the \$288 million additional cost, right? This would be \$56 million above that, and \$66 million above the fixed price option incremental, right?

**Steve Byrne** (COO, SCE&G):

Yes, Michael, that's essentially correct.

When we signed our deal with Westinghouse in October, we had attached an exhibit. We call it exhibit C, that had some things that we were working on that we hadn't yet resolved and were not resolved with this agreement. So a bulk of what you see here is items that we've now resolved from that agreement or that exhibit.

**Michael Weinstein** (Analyst - UBS):

And I know there's been some confusion out there over the performance bond that you have with Westinghouse. I'll just give you an opportunity now to explain it to everybody in case you still are getting phone calls on it.

**Jimmy Addison** (CFO):

I don't know we've had much of an inquiry about the thing, but we've got the provisions out there basically to protect us in the short term as it relates to working capital.

If they were to default and had not paid a sub or something like that and we had to pick that work up through someone else, pay the sub, that kind of thing, the formula is to help in that transition. It's based on quarterly projected payments for the year.

**Michael Weinstein** (Analyst - UBS):

Right. So it's basically a bond that's intended to finance a transition, more or less.

**Jimmy Addison** (CFO):

That's right.

**Michael Weinstein** (Analyst - UBS):

In case you need it. Okay. Thank you.

**Jimmy Addison** (CFO):

You're welcome.

**Operator**:

Stephen Byrd at Morgan Stanley .

**Stephen Byrd** (Analyst - Morgan Stanley):

Hi, good afternoon.

**Jimmy Addison** (CFO):

Good afternoon, Stephen.

**Stephen Byrd** (Analyst - Morgan Stanley):

Just wanted to check in with you all on the status of the Sandman project in China, just your latest thinking in terms of how that's progressing.

**Jimmy Addison** (CFO):

Stephen, we think that that's progressing fairly well according to their latest announced plans. We anticipate that they are getting close to doing the coal hydro, so their react coolant pumps have been installed which is the last thing they were waiting for at Sandman Unit 1.

Their plan calls for them to load fuel yet this year, so sometime probably in the late third or early fourth quarter. And then the testing from that point is really up to the utility. So it's less in Westinghouse hands than it is the utility's hands. Certainly, some time, we think, early next year, I'm not sure if going commercial is the appropriate term for China, but they'll be on a grid, making power.

**Stephen Byrd** (Analyst - Morgan Stanley):

Great. That's all I had. Thank you, very much.

**Operator:**

Feliks Kerman at Visium Asset Management.

**Feliks Kerman** (Analyst - Visium Asset Management):

Hi, guys. Most of my questions have been asked. But if the you guys can talk a little bit about the pipeline integrity program you guys have asked for, what is the size, the scope? How long do you expect that program to last for?

**Jimmy Addison** (CFO):

In our capital that we disclosed there for the three years, there's a good bit of integrity management related in that entire CapEx period over the next three years. And I suspect there could be some beyond that, too.

Roughly about 50% of that CapEx is related to integrity management in 2016 through 2018. Now, some of that would be picked up with the mid-year CWIP in the rate base. So we'll get about, get half of the year when we make the, actually have the discussions with the North Carolina Commission staff in the middle of the year.

So we'll basically have the remaining half of 2016 and 2017 and 2018. But it's roughly half of it relates to integrity management.

**Feliks Kerman** (Analyst - Visium Asset Management):

Okay. That's all I had. Thank you.

**Jimmy Addison** (CFO):

You're welcome.

**Operator:**

Travis Miller at Morningstar .

**Travis Miller** (Analyst - Morningstar):

Hi, thank you. You answered most of my clarifying questions on the nuclear stuff, BLRA, et cetera. Wondering on the gas side, obviously, you had really strong customer growth, was wondering what that was doing to gas usage and also then the next step to gas margins at those businesses.

**Jimmy Addison** (CFO):

Yes, well, Travis, two LDCs, they really get handled through mechanisms in different ways. So, in North Carolina there's the decoupler that handles any change in consumption and weather, et cetera. And it doesn't handle rate base which is why we have a rate case that we filed there now.

In South Carolina, we have the Rate Stabilization Act which is basically a streamlined rate review that happens after every heating season at the end of March. If there's more than 50 basis points variance, then it's adjusted in November. It's really considered through both of those mechanisms, even though they differ a little in their process.

**Travis Miller** (Analyst - Morningstar):

Okay. And then that customer growth, we see that kind of 2.5% type rate, does that mean substantial investment opportunity, either distribution or other value chain parts, over the next couple of years in addition to what you've already planned?

**Jimmy Addison** (CFO):

I wouldn't say a lot more than what we've planned. What we've got in the plan now contemplates it. In North Carolina and South Carolina, they differ somewhat. In South Carolina, we own very little of the transmission system. Of course, we used to own more of it through a different subsidiary, but we sold that a year ago.

There is still some transmission in the South Carolina Electric and Gas system, but it's not a tremendous amount. There's certainly distribution growth there in the South Carolina system, but that's not a significant amount above and beyond the depreciation that applies to that business each year.

In North Carolina, it's a different story, because of significant transmission enhancements that are going on. I actually visited one of those projects a couple weeks ago. It's a significant pipeline investment that's going on, and it's going extremely well. The majority of it on existing right of way in Western North Carolina.

**Travis Miller** (Analyst - Morningstar):

Okay. And that could lead to distribution investment options.

**Jimmy Addison** (CFO):

The majority of this is related to growth in the territory. The areas we serve are growing very well. A lot of transmission enhancement in the next couple of years will be up in the research triangle area, too, in addition to Western North Carolina, because a lot of the industrials are really going, for example the research universities are all going to complete gas supply as opposed to other fuels.

So, I don't know that there's tremendous new frontier kind of distribution opportunities, but I think there's a lot of industrials are really pushing it. Electric fired, gas fired electric generation, too.

**Travis Miller** (Analyst - Morningstar):

Okay. Great. Thanks a lot.

**Jimmy Addison** (CFO):

Sure.

**Operator:**

(Operator Instructions)

Our next question is from Dan Jenkins, State of Wisconsin Investment Board.

**Dan Jenkins** (Analyst - State of Wisconsin Investment Board):

Good afternoon.

**Jimmy Addison** (CFO):

Hi, Dan.

**Dan Jenkins** (Analyst - State of Wisconsin Investment Board):

I was wondering if you could give a little color on the, you had the \$0.04 year-over-year for O&M, and I was wondering, what's driving that? Is that timing, or is that something that's going to continue on a comparison basis going forward?

**Jimmy Addison** (CFO):

No, it's fairly consistent with our internal budget. It is a little more lumpy there in the first quarter. We don't expect it to be that significant throughout the year.

The most significant thing is we make compensation adjustments in the middle of the first quarter. So you've got some of that coming through. And also, of the incentive based compensation, the part that's driven off share price has obviously been more than we had expected. I guess that's a high class problem to have with the stock performing pretty well especially against the peer group over the last quarter.

**Dan Jenkins** (Analyst - State of Wisconsin Investment Board):

Okay. And then, I think you mentioned on the nuclear, that the current critical path item is the shield building, and I know that you note in your report that you filed in February, that was mentioned there as well. I was just wondering if you could update us on the status of that in terms of the components that are being fabricated and so forth.

**Steve Byrne** (COO, SCE&G):

Yes, Dan, the shield building is going probably better than we had anticipated. Our concern for the shield building really came from the contractor, their concerns, and at the time that was Chicago Bridge & Iron. Now Fluor has taken over, but we still retained the CB&I services crew that is doing that welding. So, even though CB&I power exited the consortium, the CB&I services folks who were doing the containment vessel welding and the shield building welding stay, and they're doing a tremendous job.

So we've got, there's about 16 courses of this steel that goes up to form the shield building. You lay a course at a time, weld it together, then fill that course with concrete. So we've got three of those courses that have been placed, welded and filled with concrete.

The fourth course has been placed. It's being welded. We anticipate filling that with concrete sometime in the next couple of weeks.

The deliveries are coming in from an entity called Newport News Industrial, NNI in Virginia. And those folks are working very hard to meet our schedule, and we're looking at diversifying that supply chain a little bit by perhaps moving some of those modules to another vendor to free up a little bit of space at NNI.

Overall, I'd say the shield building is going a little better than expected.

**Dan Jenkins** (Analyst - State of Wisconsin Investment Board):

How many of those components are you still waiting on for the shield building?

**Steve Byrne** (COO, SCE&G):

I would say that for the first unit, Unit 2, we've probably got two-thirds of the shield building panels that we need, and for the trailing you unit, Unit 3, we probably only have about a third of them in. So, we're still waiting on about two-thirds.

**Dan Jenkins** (Analyst - State of Wisconsin Investment Board):

Okay. And then on Unit 3, what's the current critical path item for that unit?

**Steve Byrne** (COO, SCE&G):

It runs through the shield building as well, Dan, so it's still shield building, either unit we're talking about it runs through shield building.

**Dan Jenkins** (Analyst - State of Wisconsin Investment Board):

Okay. Okay. That's all I had. Thank you.

**Jimmy Addison** (CFO):

Thank you.

**Operator:**

Paul Patterson, Glenrock Associates.

**Paul Patterson** (Analyst - Glenrock Associates):

Good afternoon.

**Jimmy Addison** (CFO):

Hello, Paul.

**Paul Patterson** (Analyst - Glenrock Associates):

How you doing?

**Jimmy Addison** (CFO):

Great. How about you?

**Paul Patterson** (Analyst - Glenrock Associates):

I'm managing, I guess. Just wanted to ask you about the fixed price option, and if you were to select that, what the impact is in terms of does it change the way the payments would go? Could you just elaborate a little bit more on that?

**Steve Byrne** (COO, SCE&G):

Paul, from a payment perspective, as Jimmy alluded to earlier, we are changing the way that we make the payments heretofore. We've had payments based on either hitting milestones or what we call progress payments.

Progress payments is a bit of a misnomer. It really wasn't tied to actual progress, so we've changed that.

When we go forward, we are negotiating a milestone payment schedule, so it's a construction milestone payment schedule which will have us paying only for milestones achieved.

**Paul Patterson** (Analyst - Glenrock Associates):

Okay. I guess what I'm wondering is in terms of the, you guys have not decided on whether or not you're going to go for the fixed price option, is that correct?

**Steve Byrne** (COO, SCE&G):

That's correct.

**Paul Patterson** (Analyst - Glenrock Associates):

If you were to go for it, does that change the payments, or does that change the schedule in payments, do you pay anything up front, or is it pretty much the same way? Would you be paying over the same time frame pretty much the same amount with this milestone? That's it.

**Steve Byrne** (COO, SCE&G):

Paul, what we've done is we've got an estimate for what is the non-fixed price option. If we elect the fixed price option, there's an added cost that comes with taking that risk away, if you will. And so what we'll do is we'll just pay that additional cost. It will be stretched out over the entire project.

So, it won't necessarily be front-end loaded. We're just picking the milestones which indicate real progress. We'll attach payments to those milestones, and when the Westinghouse hits those milestones we'll make payment to them. But the additional cost just gets spread out over the remainder of the project.

**Paul Patterson** (Analyst - Glenrock Associates):

Okay. That's it from me.

**Jimmy Addison** (CFO):

Thank you.

**Paul Patterson** (Analyst - Glenrock Associates):

Thanks so much.

**Jimmy Addison** (CFO):

Sure.

**Operator:**

Andy Levi at Avon Capital.

**Andy Levi** (Analyst - Avon Capital):

Hi. Good afternoon.

**Jimmy Addison** (CFO):

Hello, Andy.

**Andy Levi** (Analyst - Avon Capital):

How you guys doing?

**Jimmy Addison** (CFO):

Great.

**Andy Levi** (Analyst - Avon Capital):

I guess I'm just going to go back to Toshiba. I want to get something clarified. Because if you were to choose the fixed cost option, which seems to be the right way to go, and obviously, you got rid of CBI, so that was a very good thing that you guys did and have Fluor in there.

But given Toshiba's continued financial issues and concern about the Company's, or our concerns and I guess sell-side analysts concerns about the long-term viability of the Company, can you tell us what type of protections you have in place to protect SCANA and the summer project from Toshiba's potential insolvency relative to the fixed cost contract and their ability to execute on that?

And then can you get more into also the surety bond that you have, the amount that you have and how that would work if you had to call on that. Because we saw with Portland General having to call on their surety bond with Abengoa, it just wasn't that simple. Thank you.

**Steve Byrne** (COO, SCE&G):

All right. Andy, this is Steve. Let me start, and I'll allow Jimmy to finish maybe a little on the surety bond. The surety bond as he pointed out earlier was there really to create kind of a soft landing. So, it would pay vendors that were in process and allow us to, in a systematic, logical fashion, wind the project down to the point where Toshiba and/or Westinghouse could exit.

We're presuming some kind of insolvency or bankruptcy, you'd also have to presume that Westinghouse doesn't survive that. With that provision, as you're you aware, there can be various kinds of bankruptcy, and it doesn't necessarily mean that the contractor stops working, so we're working hard to make sure that we're not in advance of payments.

And then we have information which includes source codes, software, and proprietary design information that's being escrowed. And the bankruptcy, or insolvency, would be one of the triggers that gives me that information, and then we would look to finish the plant on our own.

We could do that one of a couple of ways. We could either act as our own general contractor, which we

would be more comfortable doing now than when we originally signed up for the project since we've made so much progress, and we understand this construction progress. And we would go out and get a subcontracted construction vendor, presumably Fluor, since they're already on site, already familiar with the means and methods and know the project.

Or we could EPC it again. So we could give it to an EPC contractor, certainly Fluor would be in a good position. They are an EPC contractor, so we could give it to them. So, the important part, to me, is that I have the information that I need to be able to finish the plant on my own, and that's the whole reason why we're escrowing.

**Andy Levi** (Analyst - Avon Capital):

But I guess the issue that I see, though, is that, I guess the thing that was a big positive was this fixed cost contract, right, because it kind of eliminates certain downside above the \$500 million escalation in the cost of the contract.

And so, if that contract is with an entity that is not a good counterparty, that fixed cost contract is not really worth a lot I guess. That's what I'm really getting at is, not as much the ability to finish the plant or the construction aspect of it, but the ability to control the cost if, in a negative case, Toshiba doesn't have the money to pay above the \$500 million escalation that you're willing to pay in a fixed cost option.

**Jimmy Addison** (CFO):

Well, as I said earlier, Andy, what we have on our side, though, is some time to watch how they progress and to see how that's going. We've got another six months before we've got to make this call.

They have said publicly that this business is one of three key areas of focus for them, and they're shedding the other businesses. They brought in \$6.5 billion or will as soon as those sales close, here, shortly. Everything they've said they're going to do, it appears they've done to this point in a very efficient manner. We've got several more months to watch this and monitor the situation.

**Andy Levi** (Analyst - Avon Capital):

I just get concerned because I see the stock trading a little over \$1.

Just on the surety bond, could you just go over that and the amount of that and how that would work and who would be paying you?

**Steve Byrne** (COO, SCE&G):

Yes, so it's actually, it can be either in a surety bond or in letters of credit. We've allowed them to provide that through letters of credit, and we're comfortable with the counterparties there. And it is an annual calculation based upon the estimated quarterly payments in the next year. So, we've made that calculation for 2016. We've elected it, and those letters of credit are in place now.

**Andy Levi** (Analyst - Avon Capital):

And who's the letter of credit with? Is it funded the letter of credit? How does that work?

**Jimmy Addison** (CFO):

It's a letter of credit in case they default on any of the provisions.

**Andy Levi** (Analyst - Avon Capital):

That's for \$100 million, is that for \$100 million?



**Jimmy Addison** (CFO):

It's at a maximum of \$100 million based upon the projected cash flows of the year that's applicable. So, it will change each year.

**Andy Levi** (Analyst - Avon Capital):

And then as far as on the creditor side, because Southern also has a fixed cost contract according to them, I mean, who would get paid first? I guess we don't know, that right? Because Southern would have the same issue.

**Jimmy Addison** (CFO):

No, no. This is backed by --

**Andy Levi** (Analyst - Avon Capital):

I don't mean on the surety bond. I'm talking about as far as the ability for Toshiba to perform on a fixed cost contract.

**Jimmy Addison** (CFO):

I can't answer that.

**Andy Levi** (Analyst - Avon Capital):

Okay. Thank you, very much.

**Jimmy Addison** (CFO):

Sure.

**Operator**:

Next question is from Claire Tse at Wolfe Research.

**David Paz** (Analyst - Wolfe Research):

Hi, actually it's David Paz. Just a quick question on your long-term growth rate. What earned ROEs are you assuming both at the SCE&G business and the PSNC business.

**Jimmy Addison** (CFO):

At SCE&G, David, we're consistent on the base business at above 9% is our strategy to stay out of any base rate increases outside of the BLRA. And the BLRA of course is at 10.5.

At PSNC we've got a current allowed 10.6.

**David Paz** (Analyst - Wolfe Research):

Okay. So even in the PSNC case, we should think about, even though rate base growing faster than in the past, you still anticipate somewhere in that mid-10s earned ROE through the period of your long-term growth rate?

**Jimmy Addison** (CFO):

Well, we've asked for 10.6 in the case. We'll have to wait and see how that situation comes out, either

through negotiations or through hearing with the Commission. But we'll know that in another, within six months.

**David Paz** (Analyst - Wolfe Research):

Okay. And then also, with respect to your growth rate, what sales growth are you assuming through that period? On the electric side.

**Jimmy Addison** (CFO):

It's about 1.2%.

**David Paz** (Analyst - Wolfe Research):

1.2%. Okay. That's a CAGR?

**Jimmy Addison** (CFO):

Yes.

**David Paz** (Analyst - Wolfe Research):

Okay. Great. Thank you.

**Operator:**

Next question comes from an Andrew Weisel at Macquarie capital.

**Andrew Weisel** (Analyst - Macquarie Capital Securities):

Thank you. Just want to clarify timing of this nuclear fixed option, if you do exercise it or not. It seems to me like there are a couple different steps here, I just want to understand when we can expect each and what order.

So you're going to make a decision. You're going to file with regulators. You're going to tell us about it. And you're going to officially exercise the option with the contractors. Is that the right order? And what might the time line of those four pieces look like?

**Steve Byrne** (COO, SCE&G):

Andrew, what we said is we're going to make that decision sometime in the second quarter. Then we will file. Between the filing and the public service Commission rendering an opinion is six months, and in that intervening six months, we will file testimony.

There will be testimony filed from any interveners, and then we'll have a hearing which will be a public hearing. We have until November the first to let Westinghouse know whether we have opted for that fixed price option. And we can do that basically any time from now until November the first.

**Jimmy Addison** (CFO):

And I would just add, we're going to let you know exactly concurrently with the time we file.

**Andrew Weisel** (Analyst - Macquarie Capital Securities):

Okay. So, the filing could come very shortly after you make your decision, and that process can be underway by the time you officially exercise the option with Westinghouse?

**Jimmy Addison** (CFO):

Yes, I would think that it would be well underway before we officially exercise the option with Westinghouse. Because the option with Westinghouse is subject to regulatory approval.

**Andrew Weisel** (Analyst - Macquarie Capital Securities):

Right. Good point. And then, in terms of updating the CapEx and financing costs, would that update come only in the next quarterly earnings call, or potentially when you announce to us that you've made a decision and are filing with regulators one way or the other?

**Jimmy Addison** (CFO):

So there's two different moving parts there. One is which option do we go with, just the amended contract or do we go with the fixed option. The second moving part to that is getting the revised payment schedule worked out between us and the contractor.

So those two don't necessarily happen at the same time. So it may be that we are in a position and make the filing and notify you of which option we've chosen, but haven't yet completely agreed on the payment schedule. So we can't completely update the CapEx schedule until we get both of those done.

**Andrew Weisel** (Analyst - Macquarie Capital Securities):

What's the latest time that you would get the updated finance, or payment schedule, rather?

**Jimmy Addison** (CFO):

I don't know a firm answer on that. Because it could be that we have to use this option of the, I don't know this, but it could be we have to use this resolution board to resolve any differences we have there, so that could add some time on the end of it. I would hope all of that we would, Steve, is it reasonable to say we hope to get all of that done this year.

**Steve Byrne** (COO, SCE&G):

Yes, absolutely.

**Andrew Weisel** (Analyst - Macquarie Capital Securities):

All right. Great. Looking forward to a decision. Thank you.

**Jimmy Addison** (CFO):

Thank you.

**Operator:**

Feliks Kerman of Visium Asset Management.

**Feliks Kerman** (Analyst - Visium Asset Management):

Hi, just to clarify on that last question, because the petition process takes six months for approval, is it fair to say that the associated increased equity needs would come more like a 2017 item at the end of the petition, or more of an upfront related issuance? Any color on the timing?

**Jimmy Addison** (CFO):

We don't know of anything at this point that says we need any equity until 2017, at the earliest. Recall,

we sold these two subsidiaries last year, realized about \$425 plus million of cash after tax from that. So, in the short-term, we've used that to defray some debt, but we've got plenty of that to sustain us through 2015.

**Feliks Kerman** (Analyst - Visium Asset Management):

And that's even if you choose the fixed-price option?

**Jimmy Addison** (CFO):

Excuse me, 2016. Again, I don't know what the revised payment schedule is going to be. We just don't have that at this point, so, we would have to have that. But nothing we know at this points says we've got anything additional related to that.

As Steve said earlier, we're planning on paying the, if we elected the fixed-price, we would pay that ratably over the remaining life of the construction. It's not a lump payment.

**Feliks Kerman** (Analyst - Visium Asset Management):

Okay. Thank you, guys.

**Jimmy Addison** (CFO):

Yes.

**Operator:**

This concludes our question-and-answer session. I would like to turn the conference back over to Jimmy Addison for closing remarks.

**Jimmy Addison** (CFO):

Well, thank you. We look forward to finalizing this decision on the fixed-price option and the subsequent petition to be filed with the PSC. We continue to focus on our New Nuclear construction and operating all of our businesses in a safe and reliable manner. And we thank you for joining us today and for your interest in SCANA. Have a good day.

**Operator:**

The conference is now concluded. Thank you for attending today's presentation. You may now disconnect.

All rights reserved (c) 2014 TheStreet, Inc.

Please feel free to quote up to 200 words per transcript. Any quote should be accompanied by "Provided by TheStreet" and a link to the complete transcript and [www.thestreet.com](http://www.thestreet.com). Any other use or method of distribution is strictly prohibited.

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN EACH WRITTEN OR AUDIO TRANSCRIPT (the "TRANSCRIPT") IS A REPRODUCTION OF A PARTICULAR COMPANY'S CONFERENCE CALL, CONFERENCE PRESENTATION OR OTHER AUDIO PRESENTATION. THE TRANSCRIPTS ARE PROVIDED "AS IS" AND "AS AVAILABLE" AND THESTREET IS NOT RESPONSIBLE IN ANY WAY NOR DOES IT MAKE ANY REPRESENTATION OR WARRANTY REGARDING THE ACCURACY OR COMPLETENESS OF THE TRANSCRIPTS AS PRODUCED, NOR THE SUBSTANCE OF A PARTICULAR COMPANY'S INFORMATION.

THE TRANSCRIPTS ARE PROVIDED FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY. THESTREET IS NOT PROVIDING

---

ANY INVESTMENT ADVICE OR ENDORSING ANY PARTICULAR COMPANY.